2000 Ac 70 100 Ac 9-0

ORDINANCE 91 - 27

To Amend the Zoning Maps from RS to MG and Designate PCD and to Approve an Amended Outline Plan
Re: Property located directly west of the existing
RCA/Thomson plant facility
(Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc.)

WHEREAS, the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the Plan Commission has considered this case, MG/PCD-9-91, and recommended that the petitioner, Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc., be granted an amendment to the Bloomington zoning maps and be granted amendment to the outline plan and request that the Common Council consider the petition for change of zoning, outline plan approval and PCD designation on certain property.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION I. Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 that the zoning be changed from RS to MG for property located directly west of the existing RCA/Thomson plant facility, and more particularly described as follows:

A part of the South Half of Section 5 in Township 8 North, Range 1 West, Monroe County, Indiana, and also being Seminary Lots 169, 170, 171, 172. Also: Beginning at the Southeast Corner of said Seminary Lot 177, said point being on the South line of said Section 5; thence North 88 degrees 52 minutes 11 seconds West 127.00 feet along said South line; thence leaving said South line North 3 degrees 19 minutes 28 seconds West 876.83 feet to a point on the North line of said Seminary Lot 177; thence along said North line South 89 degrees 12 minutes 6 seconds East 143.47 feet to the Northwest corner of said Seminary Lot 170; thence North 3 degrees 19 minutes 20 seconds West 94.38 feet; thence running through said Seminary Lots 167 and 168 South 88 degrees 47 minutes 6 seconds East 1318.00 feet to a point on the West line of said Seminary Lot 57; thence South 03 degrees 22 minutes 48 seconds East 94.38 feet; thence North 88 degrees 41 minutes 06 seconds West 1318 feet; thence South 03 degrees 19 minutes 28 seconds East 876.83 feet to the point of beginning, containing in all approximately 24 acres more or less.

SECTION II. Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 and pursuant to Chapter 20.13 of the Bloomington Municipal Code, that an outline plan be approved and that the property including the parcel described above be designated a Planned Commercial Development.

SECTION III. The Outline Plan Amendment, as recommended by the Plan Commission, shall be attached hereto and made a part hereof.

SECTION IV. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 57 day of
JOHN FERNANDEZ, Président Bloomington Common Council
ATTEST:
PATRICIA WILLIAMS, Clerk (DERITY) (City of Bloomington Jim McNamana)
PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 6th day of TUNE, 1991.
PATRICIA WILLIAMS, Clerk (OBPUTY) City of Bloomington Tim Mc Nama
SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this _/OTA day of, 1991.
Junilea Ollian TOMILEA ALLISON, Mayor City of Bloomington
SYNOPSIS
This ordinance rezones approximately 24 acres from RS to MG and approves an amendment to the outline plan of a previously approved MG/PCD to add the 24 acres to the PCD. Thomson proposes to build a 600,000+ sq. ft. warehouse on the site.
SIGNED COPIES: Phil Hill-B'ten Mannen Vonnette Fauson-Thomson-Index Manning

****ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION****

		rtify that the attached	
Ordinance Number 91-27, is a	true and complet	e copy of Plan Commission	
Case Number MG/PCD-9-91 which	n was given a reco	ommendation of approval	
by a vote of 10 Ayes, 0 Nay	s, and Abste	entions by the Bloomington	
City Plan Commission at a pul	· ···		
·	•	•	
Date: May 16, 1991		Tim Mueller Secretary Plan Commission	. ·
		•	
Received by the Common Counci	il Office this	day of, .	•
Patricia Williams	_		
Patricia Williams, Ctty Clerk	ζ		
7	Fiscal Impac		
Appropriation Ordinance #	Statement #_ Ordinance	Resolution#	
Type of Legislation:	Ordinance		
Appropriation End	- E December 1	David Orling	
	Program	Penal Ordinance Grant Approval	
		Administrative Change	
_ 	ling estments	Short-Term Borrowing	
New Fees Anne	exation	Other	
		·	
Planned Expenditure		Emergency	
Planned Expenditure Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislatic Projected Balance	year	Other	
Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation	year	\$	
Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislatic	year	Other\$	
Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislatic Projected Balance	year on(+/-) \$ Signature of the content of the content on the c	\$	
Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation Projected Balance Will the legislation have a seliability or revenues? Yes	year on(+/-) \$ Signature on a No X	Other\$ \$ of Controller	
Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January I Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation Projected Balance Will the legislation have a saliability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not reason for your conclusion. If the legislation will have on City costs and revenues w	year on(+/-) \$ Signature on the first second seco	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	sca
Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation Projected Balance Will the legislation have a saliability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not reason for your conclusion. If the legislation will have on City costs and revenues w significant additional expense.	year on(+/-) \$ Signature on the first second seco	\$ of Controller existing City appropriations, fi cal impact, explain briefly the impact, explain briefly what the le factors which could lead to	sca
Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation Projected Balance Will the legislation have a saliability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not reason for your conclusion. If the legislation will have on City costs and revenues w significant additional expense.	year on(+/-) \$ Signature on the first second seco	\$ of Controller existing City appropriations, fi cal impact, explain briefly the impact, explain briefly what the le factors which could lead to	sca
Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation Projected Balance Will the legislation have a saliability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not reason for your conclusion. If the legislation will have on City costs and revenues w significant additional expense.	year on(+/-) \$ Signature on the first second seco	\$ of Controller existing City appropriations, fi cal impact, explain briefly the impact, explain briefly what the le factors which could lead to	sca
Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation Projected Balance Will the legislation have a saliability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not reason for your conclusion. If the legislation will have on City costs and revenues w significant additional expense.	year on(+/-) \$ Signature on the first second seco	\$ of Controller existing City appropriations, fi cal impact, explain briefly the impact, explain briefly what the le factors which could lead to	sca

